

Background

This marking scheme relates to the written application component of the 2025 Sword of Honour scheme. The final marks for each submission will be determined by reference to applicants' performance in the written application only. The results of the Five Star Audit process will not be considered other than as a right of entry to the awards.

Please note that the marking descriptors are indicative by nature. For example, two organisations may adopt differing approaches to the written application and score equally well. Nonetheless, high-scoring organisation will typically answer all aspects of the question, clearly following the marking scheme, provide site-specific references (evidenced and referenced through the audit report) and fully utilise, but not exceed the specified word allowance per response.

Scoring criteria

- A maximum of 60 marks are available for the written application. Applicants must score a minimum of 45 marks to remain eligible for the award.
- A minimum of two individual responses must be scored within the top mark band (11-15 marks).
- Submissions will become ineligible for the Sword of Honour award should any individual responses be scored within the lower mark band (0-5 marks).
- Responses to each sub-question must not exceed 750 words per sub-question (i.e. 3,000 words overall per submission).
- The two optional questions for the Innovation and Development award will be marked separately by a panel. The grades for these questions will not be included in the overall score of the main application.

New for 2025, a sample of applicants will be randomly selected to validate the responses given on their application in a virtual interview. This selection will be made following the application submission deadline on the 23 September 2025 and the selected applicants will be notified with a MS Teams placeholder invite for the week beginning on the 29 September 2025.

		11-15 marks	6-10 marks	0-5 marks
1.	With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit: Explain how the site ensures the participation of all employee groups in the continual improvement of the Occupational Health & Safety Management System (OHSMS) and associated arrangements.	A full description of how the organisation ensures full (all) employee participation, and a full description/discussion of how these groups were identified i.e. RACI, employee job family assessment etc. A full discussion of the methods used to encourage and facilitate participation i.e. focus groups, safety forums, suggestion schemes and a full discussion of the arrangements made to enable this. The response is supported with clear examples of when and how this is done and how these contributed to continuous improvement and in turn accident reduction/cultural improvement(s). All examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.	An adequate description of how the organisation ensures full (all) employee participation. Some reference to how these groups were identified. Some reference to the methods used to encourage and facilitate participation but lacking depth. The response is supported with some examples of when and how this is done and how these contributed to continuous improvement and in turn accident reduction/cultural improvement(s). Some examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.	A basic description of how the organisation ensures full (all) employee participation. No reference to how these groups were identified. Basic reference to the methods used to encourage and facilitate participation but lacking in discussion. The response is supported with some examples of when and how this is done but lacking reference to how these contributed to continuous improvement and in turn accident reduction/cultural improvement(s). Few or no examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.
2.	With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit:	A full description of how the how the approaches were identified, assessed for suitability and implemented.	An adequate description of how the how the approaches were identified, assessed for suitability and implemented.	A basic description of how the how the approaches were identified. Lacking discussion of how these were assessed for suitability and implemented.
	Describe how the site has established suitable processes to recognise and support employee mental health.	The response contains a wide range of relevant examples and all examples provided within the response are clearly related to relevant outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.	The response contains reference to some examples of the process used but lacks depth. Some outcomes are related to relevant outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.	The response contains limited use of examples of the process used. Few or no examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.

	n relevant reference to the comes from your recent Five	A full explanation clearly demonstrating how emergency planning and associated	An adequate explanation exploring how emergency planning and associated	A basic explanation of how emergency planning ar associated arrangements are linked to the risk
	Health and Safety Audit:	arrangements are clearly linked to the risk	arrangements are linked to the risk assessment	assessment process and the methods used to
O tu.	round during round	assessment process and the methods used to	process and the methods used to achieve this.	achieve this.
Desc	cribe how the emergency	achieve this.	p	
	nning and associated		A range of relevant examples of campaigns,	Limited examples of campaigns, programmes
	ngements are clearly linked	A range of relevant examples of campaigns,	programmes and/or initiatives that will further	and/or initiatives that will further drive focussed
	ne risk assessment process	programmes and/or initiatives that will further	drive focussed performance improvement and	performance improvement and cultural
and	how this drives	drive focussed performance improvement and	cultural engagement.	engagement.
com	npliance.	cultural engagement relating to risk		
		management and ultimately compliance to the	Some examples are clearly related to the	Few or no examples are related to the outcomes of
		desired standard/outcome.	outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and	the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.
			Safety Audit.	the recent rive Star Fleath and Salety Addit.
		All examples are clearly related to the		
		outcomes of the recent Five Star Health and		
		Safety Audit.		
With	n relevant reference to the	A full explanation of how Top Management is	An adequate explanation of how Top	A basic explanation of how Top Management is
	comes from your recent Five	defined at the site.	Management is defined at the site.	defined at the site.
Star	Health and Safety Audit:			
		identifies the significant contribution this	Identifies the role, not fully appreciating the	Identifies the role, not fully appreciating the
-	lain how the site's top	community makes to compliance, culture and	contribution, this community makes to	contribution, this community makes to compliance
	nagement ensures the	engagement on health and safety matters and	compliance, culture and engagement on health	but lacks discussion around culture and
	tinued effectiveness of the	discusses the role they play in driving	and safety matters. Does not adequately discuss	engagement on health and safety matters. Does r
OHS	SMS.	continual improvement in health and safety performance.	continual improvement.	adequately reference continual improvement.
			Some examples clearly related to the outcomes	Few or no examples are related to the outcomes of
		The response is supported with clear examples of the methods used to determine	of the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.	the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit
		relevance and the actions taken (planned or		
		actual) to drive continual improvement of your		
		health and safety performance at the site.		
		All examples clearly related to the outcomes of		
		the recent Five Star Health and Safety Audit.		