

## **Background**

This marking scheme relates to the written application component of the 2025 Shield of Honour scheme. The final marks for each submission will be determined by reference to applicants' performance in the written application only. The results of the Five Star Audit process will not be considered other than as a right of entry to the awards.

Please note that the marking descriptors are indicative by nature. For example, two organisations may adopt differing approaches to the written application and score equally well. Nonetheless, high-scoring organisation will typically answer all aspects of the question, clearly following the marking scheme, provide site-specific references (evidenced and referenced through the audit report) and fully utilise, but not exceed the specified word allowance per response.

## Scoring criteria

- A maximum of 60 marks are available for the written application. Applicants must score a minimum of 45 marks to remain eligible for the award.
- A minimum of two individual responses must be scored within the top mark band (11-15 marks).
- Submissions will become ineligible for the Shield of Honour award should any individual responses be scored within the lower mark band (0-5 marks).
- Responses to each sub-question must not exceed 750 words per sub-question (i.e. 3,000 words overall per submission).
- The two optional questions for the Innovation and Development award will be marked separately by a panel. The grades for these questions will not be included in the overall score of the main application.

New for 2025, a sample of applicants will be randomly selected to validate the responses given on their application in a virtual interview. This selection will be made following the application submission deadline on the 23 September 2025 and the selected applicants will be notified with a MS Teams placeholder invite for the week beginning on the 29 September 2025.

		11-15 marks	6-10 marks	0-5 marks
1.	With relevant reference to	A full description of how the organisation ensures full (all)	An adequate description of how the organisation	A basic description of how the organisation
	the outcomes from your	employee participation, and a full description/discussion	ensures full (all) employee participation.	ensures full (all) employee participation.
	recent Five Star Wellbeing	of how these groups were identified i.e. RACI, employee	Some reference to how these groups were	No reference to how these groups were
	Audit:	job family assessment etc.	identified.	identified.
	Explain how the site	A full discussion of the methods used to encourage and	Some reference to the methods used to	Some reference to the methods used to
	ensures the participation of	facilitate participation i.e. focus groups, health and	encourage and facilitate participation but lacking	encourage and facilitate participation but
	all employee groups in the	wellbeing forums, suggestion schemes and a full	depth.	lacking in discussion.
	establishment and/or	discussion of the arrangements made to enable this.		
	continual improvement of		The response is supported with some examples	The response is supported with some
	any health and wellbeing	The response is supported with clear examples of when	of when and how this is done and how these	examples of when and how this is done but
	programme.	and how this is done and how these contributed to	contributed to continuous improvement and in	lacking reference to how these contributed to
		continuous improvement and in turn incident reduction/cultural improvement(s).	turn incident reduction/cultural improvement(s).	continuous improvement and in turn incident reduction/cultural improvement(s).
			Some examples are clearly related to the	
		All examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	Few or no examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.
				Audit.
2.	With relevant reference to	A full explanation of how Senior Management is defined	An adequate explanation of how Senior	A basic explanation of how Senior
	the outcomes from your	at the site and how they both lead and manage the health	Management is defined at the site and some	Management is defined at the site – no
	recent Five Star Wellbeing	and wellbeing agenda.	discussion of how they lead and/or manage the	reference to how they both lead and manage
	Audit:		health and wellbeing agenda.	the health and wellbeing agenda.
		Identifies the significant contribution this community		
	Describe how the senior	makes to compliance, culture and engagement on health	Identifies the role, not fully appreciating the	Identifies the role, not fully appreciating the
	management team lead and	and wellbeing matters and discusses the role they play in	contribution, this community makes to	contribution, this community makes to
	manage so as to improve	driving continual improvement both now and in the future.	compliance, culture and engagement on health	compliance but lacks discussion around
	the health and wellbeing		and wellbeing matters. Shows some	culture and engagement on health and
	culture of the organisation.	The response is supported with clear examples of the methods used to determine relevance and the actions	appreciation of the short term/long term	wellbeing matters.
			considerations required.	No reference to timescale(s).
		taken (planned or actual) to drive continual improvement of your health and wellbeing performance at the site.	Does not adequately discuss continual	Does not reference continual improvement
		or your nearm and wellbeing performance at the site.	improvement and the developing health and	and the future landscape.
		Discusses in detail continual improvement and the	wellbeing agenda.	and the fatare fariacoupe.
		developing health and wellbeing agenda.		Few or no examples are related to the
		,g	Some examples clearly related to the outcomes	outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing
		All examples clearly related to the outcomes of the recent	of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	Audit
		Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	3	

3.	With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit:	A full explanation clearly demonstrating how organisational performance will be improved both operationally and culturally.	An adequate explanation demonstrating how organisational performance will be improved both operationally and culturally.	A basic explanation of how organisational performance will be improved both operationally and culturally.
	Explain how you intend to use the output of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit to positively impact and improve health and	A range of relevant examples of campaigns, programmes and/or initiatives that will further drive focussed performance improvement and cultural engagement – reference to a range of measures e.g. employee engagement surveys, absence statistics, feedback forums, external organisations i.e. Glassdoor.	A range of relevant examples of campaigns, programmes and/or initiatives that will further drive focussed performance improvement and cultural engagement. Some reference to measures/metrics.	Limited relevant examples of campaigns, programmes and/or initiatives that will further drive focussed performance improvement and cultural engagement.  No reference to measures/metrics.
	wellbeing performance and associated organisational culture in the next year.	All examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	All or most examples are related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	Few or no examples are related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.
4.	With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit:	A full description of how the organisation identifies the significant outcomes and relevant recommendations and how these are used to drive longer term continual improvement in your health and wellbeing performance.	An adequate description of how the organisation identifies the significant outcomes and relevant recommendations and how these are used to drive continual improvement in health and wellbeing performance.	A basic description of how the organisation identifies the significant outcomes and relevant recommendations and how these are used to drive continual improvement in health and wellbeing performance.
	Identify the most significant Five Star Wellbeing Audit outcomes for your organisation and explain how relevant recommendations will be	The response is supported with clear examples of the methods used to determine relevance and the actions taken (planned or actual) to drive continual improvement of your health and wellbeing performance and 'value-add' post-audit.	The response is supported with an example of the method used to determine relevance to drive continual improvement of health and wellbeing performance and 'value-add' post-audit.	The response is not supported with any clear examples of the approach used to drive continual improvement of health and wellbeing performance and 'value-add' post-audit.
	used in ensuring longer term continual improvement of your health and wellbeing performance.	All examples are clearly related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	All or most examples are related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.	Few or no examples are related to the outcomes of the recent Five Star Wellbeing Audit.