

Globe of Honour 2019

Question Set and Marking scheme



Background

This marking scheme relates to the written application component of the 2019 Globe of Honour scheme. The final marks for each submission will be determined by reference to applicants' performance in both the written application and the Five Star Audit process (weighted at 60% and 40% respectively).

Please note that the marking descriptors are indicative by nature.

For example, two organisations may adopt differing approaches to the written application and score equally well. Nonetheless, high-scoring organisations will typically answer all aspects of the question, adhere to the marking scheme, provide site-specific references (evidenced through the audit report) and fully utilise (but not exceed) the specified word allowance per response.

Scoring criteria

- A maximum of 60 marks are available for the written application. Applicants must score a minimum of 45 marks to remain eligible for the award.
- A minimum of two individual responses must be scored within the top mark band (11-15 marks).
- Submissions will become ineligible for the Globe of Honour award should any individual responses be scored within the lower mark band (0-5 marks).
- Responses to each sub-question must not exceed 750 words per sub-question (i.e. 3,000 words overall per submission).

Globe of Honour 2019

Question Set and Marking scheme



	11-15 marks	6-10 marks	0-5 marks
<p>1. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p> <p>Explain how the site ensures the participation of non-managerial groups in the continual improvement of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p>	<p>A full explanation clearly demonstrating how effective processes have been established to ensure participation of non-managerial groups in the continual improvement of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p> <p>The response is supported with clear and relevant examples (more than one) of participation of non-managerial groups.</p>	<p>An adequate explanation demonstrating how processes have been established to ensure participation of non-managerial groups in the continual improvement of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p> <p>The response is supported with at least one relevant example of participation of non-managerial groups.</p>	<p>A basic explanation outlining a process intended to ensure participation of non-managerial groups in the continual improvement of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p> <p>The response is not supported with any relevant examples of participation of non-managerial groups.</p>
<p>2. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p> <p>Describe how you intend to use the audit recommendations to enhance your site's move towards recognised international and national Sustainable Development Goals.</p>	<p>A full description of the processes used to map the audit recommendations to enhance and/or further the site's move towards recognised (both international and national) Sustainable Development Goals.</p> <p>The response is supported with a wide range of relevant examples that also show a link to both international and national Sustainable Development Goals.</p>	<p>An adequate description of the processes used to map the audit recommendations to enhance and/or further the site's move towards recognised (both international and national) Sustainable Development Goals.</p> <p>The response is supported with at least one relevant example that also show a link to both international and national Sustainable Development Goals.</p>	<p>A basic description of the processes used to map the audit recommendations to enhance and/or further the site's move towards recognised (both international and national) Sustainable Development Goals.</p> <p>The response is supported not supported with any relevant examples that link to both international and national Sustainable Development Goals.</p>

<p>3. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p>	<p>Describe how the site ensures that contractors effectively meet the requirements of the (organisation's) EMS</p>	<p>A full description of the processes used to select, manage and monitor contractor performance.</p>	<p>An adequate summary of the processes used to select, manage and monitor contractor performance.</p>	<p>A basic outline of a process used to select, manage and/or monitor contractor performance.</p>
		<p>The response is supported with relevant examples and includes criteria for appointment of contractors.</p>	<p>The response is supported with at least one relevant example and includes criteria for appointment of contractors.</p>	<p>The response is not supported with any relevant examples and does not include criteria for appointment of contractors.</p>
<p>4. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p>	<p>Explain how the site's top management ensure the continued effectiveness of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p>	<p>A full explanation of how top management ensure the effective performance of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p>	<p>An adequate explanation of how top management ensure the effective performance of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p>	<p>A basic explanation of how top management ensure the effective performance of the EMS and associated arrangements.</p>
		<p>The response includes the primary factors involved in the top management review process including outcomes from the five-star audit and how improvement plans are developed as a result.</p>	<p>The response will outline the top management review process with some examples of factors involved.</p>	<p>The response does not outline the top management review process and has no examples of factors involved.</p>