

# Globe of Honour 2018

## Question set and marking scheme



### Background

This marking scheme relates to the written application component of the 2018 Globe of Honour award scheme. The final marks for each submission will be determined by reference to applicants' performance in both the written application and the Five Star Audit process (weighted at 60% and 40% respectively).

Please note that the marking descriptors are indicative by nature.

For example, two organisations may adopt differing approaches to the written application and score equally well. Nonetheless, high-scoring organisations will typically answer all aspects of the question, adhere to the marking scheme, provide site-specific references (evidenced through the audit report) and fully utilise (but not exceed) the specified word allowance per response.

### Scoring criteria

- A maximum of 60 marks are available for the written application. Applicants must score a minimum of 45 marks to remain eligible.
- A minimum of two individual responses must be scored within the top mark band (11-15 marks).
- Submissions will become ineligible for the Globe of Honour award should any individual responses be scored within the lower mark band (0-5 marks).
- Responses to each sub-question must not exceed 750 words per sub-question (i.e. 3,000 words overall per submission).

# Globe of Honour 2018

## Question set and marking scheme



	11-15 marks	6-10 marks	0-5 marks
<p>1. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p> <p><b>Explain how the organisation has established suitable processes for the effective control of planned permanent and temporary changes that have the potential to impact upon organisational environmental performance</b></p>	<p>A <b>full explanation</b> clearly demonstrating how suitable processes have been established to <b>effectively control</b> change management within the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>clear examples</b> of effective management of both <b>temporary and permanent changes</b> within the organisation.</p>	<p>An <b>adequate explanation</b> demonstrating how suitable processes have been established to <b>effectively control</b> change management within the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>some examples</b> of management of both <b>temporary and permanent changes</b> within the organisation.</p>	<p>A <b>basic</b> explanation outlining how processes have been established to <b>control</b> change management within the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>few (or no) examples</b> of management of either <b>temporary and/or permanent changes</b> within the organisation.</p>
<p>2. With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:</p> <p><b>Specify how the organisation's top management ensure the integration of the environmental requirements into its business processes for this site</b></p>	<p>A <b>fully detailed</b> response which specifies how top management ensure environmental requirements are integrated into the business processes of the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>clear examples</b> of environmental management system requirements which are aligned with, and integrated into, the overall business processes.</p>	<p>An <b>adequately detailed</b> response which outlines how top management ensure environmental requirements are integrated into the business processes of the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>some examples</b> of environmental management system requirements which are aligned with, and integrated into, the overall business processes.</p>	<p>A <b>basic</b> response which states how top management ensure the environmental requirements are integrated into the business processes of the organisation.</p> <p>The response is supported with <b>few (or no) examples</b> of environmental management system requirements which are aligned with, and integrated into, the overall business processes.</p>

---

3. **With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:**

**Identify the most significant Five Star Audit outcomes and explain how relevant recommendations will be used in ensuring continual improvement of the EMS performance**

**Clear identification** of the most significant outcomes from the Five Star Audit process. **Full explanation** of how the relevant recommendations will be used in ensuring continual improvement of the EMS performance.

The response is supported with **justified methodologies and relevant examples** for the prioritisation of outcomes and recommendations from the Five Star Audit process within the planning process.

**Identification** of some significant outcomes from the Five Star Audit process. **Adequate explanation** of how the relevant recommendations will be used in ensuring continual improvement of the EMS performance.

The response is supported with adequate methodologies and **some examples** of the prioritisation of outcomes and recommendations from the Five Star Audit process within the planning process.

A **basic outline** stating how the outcomes and recommendations from the Five Star Audit will be used in ensuring continual improvement of the EMS performance.

The response is supported with **limited (or no) examples** of the prioritisation of outcomes and recommendations from the Five Star Audit process within the planning process.

---

4. **With relevant reference to the outcomes from your recent Five Star Audit:**

**Describe specific projects or programmes that have used the concept of life cycle thinking to contribute to the environmental (and other) performance within the value chain of the organisation.**

A **full** explanation of a project or programme that has been implemented using life cycle thinking and details of **a range of examples** of the environmental (and sustainability) benefits that have been resulted. Reference to a formal process is clearly apparent and justification is provided for the approach taken.

The response will clearly explain how **life cycle thinking** has improved the environmental and sustainability performance within the value chain.

An **adequate** explanation of a project or programme that has been implemented using life cycle thinking with **some examples** of the environmental (and sustainability) benefits that have been resulted. Reference to a formal process is **not** clearly apparent and limited justification is provided for the approach taken.

The response will provide **some** explanation of how **life cycle thinking** has improved the environmental and sustainability performance within the value chain.

A **basic outline** of a project or programme that has been implemented using life cycle thinking with **limited (or no) examples** of the environmental (and sustainability) benefits that have resulted. **No** reference to a formal process and no justification is provided for the approach taken.

**Limited (or no)** explanation of how **life cycle thinking** has improved the environmental and sustainability performance within the value chain.

---